

John Benjamins Publishing Company



This is a contribution from *Interpreting 13:2*
© 2011. John Benjamins Publishing Company

This electronic file may not be altered in any way.

The author(s) of this article is/are permitted to use this PDF file to generate printed copies to be used by way of offprints, for their personal use only.

Permission is granted by the publishers to post this file on a closed server which is accessible to members (students and staff) only of the author's/s' institute, it is not permitted to post this PDF on the open internet.

For any other use of this material prior written permission should be obtained from the publishers or through the Copyright Clearance Center (for USA: www.copyright.com).

Please contact rights@benjamins.nl or consult our website: www.benjamins.com

Tables of Contents, abstracts and guidelines are available at www.benjamins.com

Christopher Stone. *Toward a Deaf translation norm.* Washington, DC: Gallaudet University Press, 2009. 224 pp. ISBN 978 1 56368 418 0 [Studies in Interpretation 6].

Reviewed by Jeremy L. Brunson

Is there a difference between the products of a translator/interpreter for whom the target language is their native language and the products of those who are working into their second language? If so, what is that difference? These questions are at the heart of Christopher Stone's study. In his book, *Toward a Deaf Translation Norm*, Stone explores the burgeoning profession of Deaf translators/interpreters in the United Kingdom in an attempt to answer these questions. His focus is on translators/interpreters who perform translation/interpreting work presenting English news footage in British Sign Language (BSL).

Stone begins by providing a discussion of the theories that have been employed to explain the translation/interpreting process. A wealth of knowledge is provided here that would undoubtedly be very interesting to the expert or aspiring linguist; however, for those of us whose area of study falls outside this field, this information can, at times, become overwhelming as we attempt to conceptualize the myriad theories with respect to the study we have yet to begin to read. This should not keep the reader from continuing on the journey Stone is aptly qualified to lead.

Once the theories applicable to the study of translation and interpreting are understood, Stone asks the reader: "What makes BSL unique?" That is, what are the features of BSL that are similar to and different from those of spoken language? In identifying these, Stone identifies the variables he plans to examine in his study: head movements and eye-blinking; and whether they differ between Deaf translators/interpreters and non-deaf translators/interpreters. The goal is to determine how non-deaf interpreters can "domesticate" (p.41) the target language so that it resembles that of Deaf interpreters.

Stone finds his voice in explaining the methodology by which he gathered his data. In describing the participants and the source texts, he is extremely clear and articulate. He performs a critical ethnography that relies on semi-structured interviews with Deaf translators/interpreters who regularly work presenting news footage from English (via autocue) to BSL. Relying on Think-Aloud Protocols (TAPs), Stone talks with three Deaf translators/interpreters from Deaf families and two non-deaf translators/interpreters in order to discover the processes by which the translators/interpreters render a message in the target language.

The informants in Stone's study provide accounts of how they produce a culturally competent interpretation into BSL. It is nice to "meet" the informants. Readers who are bothered by ungrammatical writing might struggle here because Stone has decided not to edit the Deaf informants' comments. What the reader takes away from this chapter is that the Deaf translators/interpreters are similar to performers on a stage. They have their scripts and they have (occasionally) time to rehearse their performance. This allows them to create a product that is presumably more accessible to Deaf audience members. This of course is not new or surprising information. Scholars of translation/interpreting have long touted the benefits of being able to process information before interpreting. What is new here is that it is Deaf people talking about it rather than non-deaf people expressing what they "think" should occur.

Stone identifies two specific translation/interpreting features — eye-blinking and head movements — and explains how they differ in the interpreted product of Deaf and non-deaf translators/interpreters. These two prosodic markers enhance cohesion in BSL, according to Stone. Although hearing interpreters have more voluntary blinks than do Deaf translators/interpreters, which Stone suggests is an issue of fluency, he concedes that it could also be a by-product of Deaf interpreters' frequent reliance on the autocue for prompts. The head movements, used for boundary markers, also differ between Deaf and non-deaf interpreters.

In the final chapter of the study, Stone explores the ways in which translators/interpreters use enrichment and impoverishment techniques in their work. While there are times when the examples do not explicate the actual enrichment or impoverishment, these times are rare. Most of the examples that Stone uses solidify the reader's understanding of the process.

Every piece of research could be improved upon. This is rarely the fault of the researchers, who are often constrained by limitations on time, money, and access. While I think this is a solid piece of research, I believe there is also a significant gap. The premise from which Stone begins his study is that native users of the target language are clearer than non-natives. He does not, however, address whether being a native user of the source language can compensate for not being a native user of the target language. It appears that Stone has accepted this premise without questioning its validity, simply asking us to accept it as fact. While demonstrating that native users of a language produce a message in the target language differently than non-native users, Stone neglects to say whether those differences make the message clearer.

This is an interesting study that complements the growing scholarship in the field of sign language interpreting. However, its application is not limited to that field. While focused on Deaf translators/interpreters, the book addresses the complicated workings of all kinds of translation/interpreting. The goal of any translator/

interpreters is to convey not only words or concepts, but ideas and passion that are present in the source language. To do this, they must strive to be more culturally competent. Stone demonstrates that, at least within this sample, the end product is different and implies that this difference leads to a different understanding of the target-language product. Scholars, students, trainers and practitioners will want to add this book to their libraries and its findings to their professional toolboxes.